

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,635	12/30/2003	Sang Hun Oh	20059/PIA31068	9405
34431 7	590 09/09/2004		EXAMINER	
GROSSMAN	GROSSMAN & FLIGHT, LLC PASCHALL, MARK H		, MARK H	
20 N. WACKE	ER DRIVE			
SUITE 4220			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, II	. 60606		3742	

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\sim 10^{\circ}$
	10/749,635	OH, SANG HUN	(')
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark H Paschall	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply l within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	nce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•	•	(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		eived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eived	
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	cived.	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nary (PTO-413) ail Date	,
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/749,635

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Basr-Gadda. Note that Bar-Gadda teaches the claimed reactant gases and since the claim language does not specify when the chlorine is admitted, i.e., with the chf3 or after, nor when the supply is completed, it is obvious that the artisan would have found the claimed steps obvious via any etching process using the claimed gas combinations such as Bar-Gadda. With respect to the apparatus claims 9-15, since the plasma gases are taught and the gases can be controlled by the operator in a programmed manner, as is conventional, it is obvious that the apparatus claimed can obviously be used by the artisan in the claimed manner. The called for parameters such as pressures, power levels an flow relates as the dependent claims are considered obvious and routine design choices for the artisan barring further disclosure of when the admittance of cl2 occurs relative to the other gases.

Art Unit: 3742

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ye et al, Hsia et al, Moise et al and Niino et al are cited for disclosing plasma-processing systems similar to that instantly disclosed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H Paschall whose telephone number is 703 308-1642. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 703 308-2634. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742